

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/680,077	10/05/2000	Alexander S. Zharkov	9585-2	8243
23973	7590 06/08/2004		EXAMINER	
DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH ONE LOGAN SQUARE 18TH AND CHERRY STREETS			KEITH, JACK W	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PHILADELP	HIA, PA 19103-6996		3641	
			DATE MAILED: 06/08/2004	1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/680,077	ZHARKOV ET AL.			
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Jack W. Keith	3641			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ware to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the pergree ABANDONE.	ely filed will be considered timely. he mailing date of this communication.			
Status	,					
1) 🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Ma	arch 2004.				
	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
	closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Dispositi	ion of Claims					
 4) Claim(s) 1-37 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 16,17,19 and 21-37 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 6,7 and 12-14 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1, 11, 15, 18 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2-5 and 8-10 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 						
Applicati	ion Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	pted or b) objected to by the E lrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obje	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) ' No(s)/Mail Date ademark Office	4) Interview Summary (I Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	e dent Application (PTO-152)			
. 10L-020 (RE	Office Acti	ion Summary Part	of Paper No./Mail Date 06012004			

Application/Control Number: 09/680,077

Art Unit: 3641

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15, 18 and 20 have been fully considered.

Claims 6-7 and 12-14 are as amended are in condition for allowance.

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 8-11, 15, 18 and 20 rejected over Challacombe (3,721,297) have been fully considered. The rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 11, 15, 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mohaupt (5,005,641).

Mohaupt discloses a well stimulating device capable of meeting applicant's claimed inventive concept. Referring to the figure 1 of Mohaupt a tubular assembly of solid gas generators (28) having an outer surface and a central channel, part of said assembly being clad on its outer surface and part of the assembly being bare on its outer surface (openings 40). An igniter (spark igniter) (32) further resides in the upper end portion of the gas generator.

Additionally note cable (36) for lowering the well stimulating device of Challacombe into a well.

Application/Control Number: 09/680,077

Art Unit: 3641

While patent drawings are not drawn to scale, relationships clearly shown in the drawings of a reference patent cannot be disregarded in determining the patentability of claims. See <u>In re Mraz</u>, 59 CCPA 866, 455 F.2d 1069, 173 USPQ 25 (1972).

With regard to claim 18 statements of intended use, field of use, or "so constructed that " clauses are essentially method limitations or statements of intended or desired use. Thus, these claims as well as other statements of intended use do not serve to patentably distinguish the claimed structure over that of the reference. See In re Pearson, 181 USPQ 641; In re Yanush, 177 USPQ 705; In re Finsterwalder, 168 USPQ 530; In re Casey, 512 USPQ 235; In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458; Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647.

See MPEP § 2114 which states:

A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from the prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. Ex parte Masham, 2 USPQ 2nd 1647

Claims directed to apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than functions. In re Danly, 120 USPQ 528, 531.

Apparatus claims cover what a device is not what a device does. <u>Hewlett-Packard Co. v. Bausch & Lomb Inc.</u>, 15 USPQ2d 1525, 1528.

As set forth in MPEP § 2115, a recitation in a claim to the material or article worked upon (i.e., the gas generator) does not serve to limit an apparatus claim.

With regard to claim 20 it appears from the figures that the claimed ratio of bare to clad parts of the charge are met by Challacombe.

The examiner would like to further point out that the claims are open-ended (comprising). Note that comprising is open-ended and does not exclude additional, unrecited elements. See § MPEP 2111.03.

Application/Control Number: 09/680,077

Art Unit: 3641

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 2-5 and 8-10 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

- 6. This application contains claims 16, 17, 19 and 21-37 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in Paper No. 13. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.
- 7. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Art Unit: 3641

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jack W. Keith whose telephone number is (703) 306-5752. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:30-5 p.m., with Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Carone can be reached on (703) 306-4198. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jack W. Keith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3641

jwk June 1, 2004